

The later mapping decision

Open this card after saving the first review. It introduces new evidence; it is not evidence that was secretly available in the original notes.

DEC-01 v1

Within this fictional exercise, the following decision is supplied as approved by the authorised contract owner. That authority is an explicit fact of the case, not an inference from a job title.

When the source state is HELD, emit no target payment_status and route the record to manual review. The review item must contain the source-record ID, original state and reason Unmapped legacy state.

Item	Boundary
Scope	The HELD state in this mapping slice only.
Question answered	Q-01: how to handle HELD.
Required reason text	Unmapped legacy state
Still open	Q-02: retry policy, queue-failure handling and other unexpected states.
Requirement status	Your resulting requirement remains a draft for review.
Test status	Any checks you design are expected outcomes, not executed tests.

Your next action

Retain the original claim review. Record DEC-01, draft the HELD requirement and link it to positive and negative acceptance examples. State the unresolved behaviour in the handover. Do not turn the supplied decision into a claim that a whole payment service is ready to deploy.

Fictional authored teaching decision. It is not a customer, employer, regulatory or production approval.